

Attachment H

Proposal # 2001- H-202 (Office Use Only)

PSP Cover Sheet (Attach to the front of each proposal)

Proposal Title: Tuolumne River Watershed Outreach and Stewardship
Applicant Name: Tuolumne River Preservation Trust
Contact Name: Jenna Olsen
Mailing Address: Fort Mason, Building C, San Francisco, CA 94123
Telephone: 415/292-3531
Fax: 415/931-1813
Email: tuolumne@tge.org

Amount of funding requested: \$ 62,000

Some entities charge different costs dependent on the source of the funds. If it is different for state or federal funds list below.

State cost _____

Federal cost _____

Cost share partners?

Identify partners and amount contributed by each ✓ Yes No
Tuolumne Trust (Packard Fdn. + National Fish and Wildlife Foundation) \$10,000

Indicate the Topic for which you are applying (check only one box).

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Natural Flow Regimes | <input type="checkbox"/> Beyond the Riparian Corridor |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Nonnative Invasive Species | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Watershed Stewardship |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Channel Dynamics/Sediment Transport | <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental Education |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Flood Management | <input type="checkbox"/> Special Stubs Species Surveys and Studies |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Water Tidal/ Marsh Habitat | <input type="checkbox"/> Fishery Monitoring, Assessment and Research |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Contaminants | <input type="checkbox"/> Fish Screens |

What county or counties is the project located in? Stanislaus

What CALFED ecozone is the project located in? See attached list and indicate number. Be as specific as possible 13.2 Tuolumne River

Indicate the type of applicant (check only one box):

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> State agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Federal agency |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public/Non-profit joint venture | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-profit |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local government/district | <input type="checkbox"/> Tribes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> University | <input type="checkbox"/> Private party |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ | |

Indicate the primary species which the proposal addresses (check all that apply):

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> San Joaquin and East-side Delta tributaries fall-run chinook salmon | <input type="checkbox"/> Spring-run chinook salmon |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Winter-run chinook salmon | <input type="checkbox"/> Fall-run chinook salmon |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Late-fall run chinook salmon | <input type="checkbox"/> Longfin smelt |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Delta smelt | <input type="checkbox"/> Steelhead trout |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Splittail | <input type="checkbox"/> Striped bass |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Green sturgeon | <input type="checkbox"/> All Chinook Species |
| <input type="checkbox"/> White Sturgeon | <input type="checkbox"/> All anadromous salmonids |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Waterfowl and Shorebirds | <input type="checkbox"/> American shad |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Migratory birds | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other listed T/E species: _____ | |

Indicate the type of project (check only one box):

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Research/Monitoring | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Watershed Planning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pilot/Demo Project | <input type="checkbox"/> Education |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Full-scale Implementation | |

Is this a next-phase of an ongoing project?

Yes _____

No ☒

Have you received funding from CALFED before?

Yes _____

No ☒

If yes, list project title and CALFED numbers _____

Have you received funding from CVPIA before?

Yes _____

No ☒

If yes, list CVPIA program providing funding, project title and CVPIA number (if applicable):

By signing below, the applicant declares the following:

- The truthfulness of all representations in their proposal;
- The individual signing the form is ~~entitled~~ to submit the application on behalf of the applicant (if the applicant is an entity or organization); and
- The person submitting the application has read and understood the conflict of interest and confidentiality discussion in the PSP (Section 2.4) and waives any and all rights to privacy and confidentiality of the proposal on behalf of the applicant to the extent as provided in the Section.

Jenna Olsen

Printed name of applicant

Jenna Olsen

Signature of applicant

B. Executive Summary

Project: Tuolumne River Watershed Outreach and Stewardship Proposal
Type: Local Watershed Stewardship
Amount Requested: \$62,000
Applicant: Jenna Olsen, Executive Director
Tuolumne River Preservation Trust
Fort Mason, Building C
San Francisco, CA 94123
415/292-3531, 415/931-1813 (fax)
tuolumne@igc.org

Participants and Collaborators

Tuolumne River Preservation Trust will collaborate with the members of the Tuolumne River Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), who include Modesto and Turlock Irrigation Districts, City and County of San Francisco, US Fish and Wildlife Service, CA Department of Fish and Game, Friends of the Tuolumne Trust, and others. We have also requested input on the development of the map from the East Stanislaus Resource Conservation District, the Natural Resources Conservation Service, and other relevant organizations.

Summary

The Tuolumne River Preservation Trust proposes to create outreach materials (a map of the Tuolumne River and re-prints of the Tuolumne River Restoration Plan Summary Brochure) and then use these tools with landowners and the general public to build awareness, understanding and support of the Tuolumne River Restoration Plan (Plan), a science-based vision for restoring the Tuolumne. The program will foster greater local watershed stewardship consistent with the vision of the Plan. This project builds on the outreach already conducted by the TAC and on the multiple restoration projects being implemented by TAC members as part of the Plan.

The project will occur within the watershed of the Tuolumne River, in Stanislaus County. The hypothesis being tested is that greater awareness, developed through outreach using the summary brochure and the map, will lead to greater involvement in and implementation of the Plan. The expected outcome of the project is increased local watershed stewardship and improved on-the-ground implementation of the Plan by incorporating knowledge and experience of local landowners. The success will be measured by the increased community involvement in the Plan.

This outreach and stewardship program facilitates the implementation of the Tuolumne River Restoration Plan, which supports many of the ERP goals. The Restoration Plan aims to restore natural processes to the river (Ecosystem Restoration Strategic Goal #2), specifically the geomorphic processes associated with higher peak flows. These natural processes will restore the ecosystem which provides habitat (Goal #4) to support fall-run chinook salmon, an at-risk and harvestable species (Goals #1 and #3).

C. Project Description

1. *Statement of the Problem*

a. *Problem*

In this local watershed stewardship project, the Tuolumne River Preservation Trust (Trust) will work closely with and within the Tuolumne River Technical Advisory Committee (TAC). The TAC acts as the watershed group on the Tuolumne River, and involves critical stakeholders: environmental organizations, water agencies, and state and federal resource agencies. The TAC and the Trust have demonstrated the capacity to work well together, as well as with other critical stakeholders, including farmers, gravel mining operators, and local governments by cooperating on current restoration projects.

This watershed stewardship project, which focuses on creating and using outreach tools, builds on the outreach already conducted by the TAC on the Tuolumne River Restoration Plan (Plan), a scientifically based document that serves as both a watershed assessment and an overarching vision for restoring the river. Last year, the TAC held individual briefings on the Draft Plan with representatives of the City of Modesto, Stanislaus County, and other interested parties. The TAC also hosted a community meeting to describe the Draft Plan, where it was very well-received. Finally, the TAC created and distributed a “summary brochure” of the Draft Plan last spring.

This proposal from the Trust addresses the problem of a lack of further outreach over the past year to the general public to maintain awareness of the progress of the Plan. The proposal to re-print the summary brochure addresses the need for more members of the community to be aware of and engaged in the Plan. The map proposal addresses the need for landowners to see how their property fits into the context of the Tuolumne River, especially the relationship of their property to other parcels and to the river itself as a functioning ecosystem and floodway. The outreach portion of this proposal aims to involve landowners and other community members in implementing the Plan to ensure their knowledge contributes to more effective implementation and longer-lived, more successful projects. Finally, educating people about the Plan will engage the relevant communities more fully in prioritizing the next generation of stewardship projects that will continue to implement the Plan’s vision.

While most of the literature on public participation, outreach and stewardship approaches the topic from the perspective of a “bureaucracy” – usually federal or state government – engaging some “publics” in a policy-level decision, the benefits and pitfalls identified in the literature are relevant to this project. Academics warn that we must “recognize resource planning as a forum for public deliberation on the shape of 2 common future ... planning needs to combine diverse viewpoints” (Comter and Shannon, 1993, p. 16). The TAC has an important role to play in making the Restoration Plan available as a scientific basis upon which the communities in the Tuolumne watershed can deliberate our common future.

The literature also supports the two key objectives of the Trust's outreach and stewardship program: to involve parties in projects and decisions that impact them and to improve the quality and longevity of projects. Arnstein's ladder of public participation, one of the early models of public participation, approaches the topic from a perspective of democratic tradition, by identifying types of participation that range from non-participation to tokenism to actual power. (Arnstein, 1969). She warns that agencies may be tempted to delegate responsibility to citizens without providing the requisite resources for discharging that responsibility. A few decades later, Freeman acknowledged the value of public participation in creating better and more long lasting solutions (Freeman, 1984). This is now a core value of public participation implicit in many resource management programs, including the Solicitation Package to which this proposal is responding.

The objectives of the outreach and stewardship project are:

- to increase the awareness and understanding of the Tuolumne River Restoration Plan -as a scientific vision;
- to increase local watershed stewardship by identifying landowners and/or community members interested in developing projects or activities consistent with the Plan and its vision, such as implementing voluntary measures (e.g. modifying land management practices and monitoring the impact of this change), selling conservation easements on flood-prone land or assisting with non-native vegetation removal;
- To improve the implementation of the Restoration Plan by incorporating the input from the landowners and/or community members who have on-the-ground knowledge of the land and the river reaches in the Tuolumne watershed.

b. Conceptual model

This project builds on the concept that outreach and community participation are necessary for successful implementation of any project and that they foster local watershed stewardship. Such participation and stewardship improves the quality and longevity of the project by making use of the specific knowledge of the community and by addressing community concerns up front.

The Trust proposes the specific outreach tools in this project based on assumptions about the barriers to involvement in local stewardship. First, we assume that greater understanding of the Plan as an overarching vision within which individual projects may be developed will lead to more interest in initiating such projects. The summary brochure helps to achieve this understanding. We have also proposed developing an outreach map because we suspect that landowners along the river may be more likely to get involved in stewardship consistent with the Restoration Plan when they understand how their property relates to the river as a whole and to the Restoration Plan. We also hope that acknowledging private property rights will ease landowner fears and help to educate the general public about areas along the river where public access is allowed and where it is not.

c. Hypotheses being tested

We hypothesize that the greater the number of people who are aware of the Restoration Plan and who have more knowledge of the Tuolumne River, the more they will support the Plan. We also hypothesize that greater involvement will improve the quality of individual projects. Finally, the more people who know and understand the Plan, the more people will be interested in becoming involved as stewards participating in its implementation.

The project will indirectly address several of the CALFED and CVPIA goals by facilitating implementation of projects which forward those goals and are identified in the Tuolumne River Restoration Plan . Such projects include conservation easements and floodway expansion, replanting of riparian vegetation, preservation of mature trees, channel reconstruction, alternative grazing patterns, introducing vegetative buffer strips, and others. The Restoration Plan focuses on restoring ecosystem processes, especially the geomorphic processes associated with peak flows that restore salmon habitat and riparian habitats.

d. Adaptive Management

Existing knowledge in landscape conservation strategies, especially in California, point to the need *to* include impacted parties in planning, prioritizing, and implementing land conservation visions. Because the TAC lacks official representation from the parties who own the majority of land along the river (private individuals), an intensive outreach effort is crucial to successfully translating the restoration vision of the Plan to successful on-the-ground stewardship projects that are supported by the community.

We plan to use adaptive management to tailor the messages (e.g. describing the Plan, the benefits of a restored river to landowners, the ways landowners and the general public can help to implement the Plan, etc.) and methods of outreach (one-on-one meetings, group presentations, etc.) used throughout this project. If this ongoing tailoring does not result in greater support and local stewardship, we will re-assess the problem and evaluate whether lack of knowledge about the Plan is the true barrier to support for the Plan and involvement in stewardship, or if other barriers need to be addressed.

e. Educational Objectives

This project to foster local watershed stewardship through involvement and participation in implementation of the Plan has some educational components. The outreach to landowners and the public will increase understanding of the CALFED ERP goals that are implicit in the Tuolumne Restoration Plan (e.g. restoring ecosystem processes, imperiled fall-run chinook salmon, riparian habitats, and others).

The program will involve the following audiences:

- all landowners along the river, including ranchers, farmers (orchards, dairies, etc.), and mining operators;
- local governmental entities, such as Stanislaus County and the Cities of Modesto, Ceres, Waterford, etc.;
- general public, such as citizen groups, businesses, schools, and other members of the community.

We intend to communicate with these audiences primarily through one-on-one conversations, though we will also use group presentations and potentially mailings as well.

The method for evaluating the effectiveness of this education will be qualitative. We will rely on direct feedback during the individual or group meetings to gauge the level of awareness and support before and after our meeting. We will also attempt to use third parties to find out how our presentation has been received and to share this information with us. We can also obtain some quantitative measures by examining the number of landowners and members of the general public or local governmental entities who actively support the Plan, either through statements or through participation in the Plan (e.g. implementing voluntary measures or pursuing conservation easements).

2. Proposed Scope of Work

a. Location and/or Geographic Boundaries

The project will take place within Stanislaus County, which encompasses Ecozone 13.2 – San Joaquin system, the Tuolumne River.

The project will take place within the entire watershed of the Tuolumne River below La Grange Dam. The estimated mid-point of the river is at California coordinates:
n2047242.62
e6458217.84

Latitude/Longitude:
37d37'0.35519"north
120d51'25.96515"west

b. Approach

The project involves five distinct tasks:

- Gather input and data to create an outreach map. The map would depict the Tuolumne River and its generalized floodplain, as well as the high water line during the 1997

floods. The land in the floodplain would be color-coded to denote ownership (e.g. private lands blue, public lands other colors). The map would also show canoe or recreation access points. Most of the data already exist in GIS format, and the other potential pieces of information (e.g. high water line during the 1997 floods) are available but not yet digitized. We plan to consult with community members, such as the East Stanislaus Resource Conservation District, as well as people who have created similar outreach tools or been involved in similar efforts, such as the San Joaquin River Parkway and Conservation Trust and the Sacramento River Conservation Area. Information may be added or subtracted from this draft conception, depending on the input the Trust receives from members of the community;

- Hire a cartographer to create the map, keeping special focus on making it attractive and readable;
- Produce hard copies of the map and make it available over the Internet;
- Re-print hard copies of the Tuolumne River Restoration Plan Summary Brochure;
- Conduct outreach in the Tuolumne watershed, using the tools and partnering with members of the TAC and other parties. The Trust will bring information learned during the outreach to the TAC to inform current and future implementation of the restoration vision in the Plan and to bring the next phase of stewardship projects forward.

c. Monitoring and Assessment Plans

The experimental design used to assess the outcome of this project is very weak. This is a “one shot case study” that evaluates only the post-outreach group in a qualitative manner, with no pre-test and no control group.

We will monitor whether the program activities have occurred by looking at the following milestones:

- Does the design of the map (including pieces of information included) incorporate the input from community members and those with similar experiences?
- Have the map and summary brochure been produced?
- How many meetings, discussions, and presentations has the Trust made to our target audiences?

We will also assess the impact of the outreach program in as quantitative a manner as possible by examining :

- Have the outreach meetings produced information from landowners and/or community members that improves the implementation of the Plan? How many improvements and/or what is the quality of the improvements?
- Have the outreach meetings identified new individuals interested in supporting or participating in stewardship connected to the Plan? How many?

, We may be able to improve the experimental design slightly by doing a "before/after" comparison OR the two key measurements described above. However, without a control group, there is no way of knowing if the observed effectiveness (or lack thereof) of the program is the result of the outreach and stewardship program or of other factors (such as media coverage, changes in the economy, etc.). A lack of visible results, such as no new individuals interested in stewardship projects, could in fact mean that the outreach and stewardship program countered some other factor which was decreasing the support and involvement of the community in the Plan (Mohr, 1992).

A more rigorous quantitative analysis of differences in attitudes and knowledge through pre- and post- program surveys involving randomized control and treatment groups is possible but prohibitively time-consuming and expensive. If CALFED is interested in obtaining data on the efficacy of such outreach, we would welcome the opportunity to apply a rigorous experimental design to this outreach and stewardship program. We assume, however, that such an effort is not cost-effective for CALFED at this point in time.

d. *Data Handling and Storage*

The outreach map will be produced on paper, but will also be posted on the web site that currently describes the Restoration Plan, so that it may be updated as new projects begin in the watershed or other changes occur. The information obtained from community members during the program will be noted and maintained for the TAC.

e. *Expected Products/Outcomes*

Within the year following signing of the contract with CALFED, the Trust will have accomplished the following:

- produced 2,500 copies of the Summary Brochure and the Outreach Map
- held 40 individual meetings with landowners along the river and other community members
- engaged in presentations to and discussions with 15 community organizations
- met with 15 local government officials
- identified at least five additional partners interested in local watershed stewardship via implementation of a project consistent with the Tuolumne Restoration Plan

f. *Work Schedule*

- Task 1 Create Outreach Map*
- Task 1a. Gather input and data for creation of outreach map (March 2000 - October 2000).
- Task 1b. Cartographer (consultant) creates outreach map (June 2000 - November 2000).
- Task 2 Produce Outreach Map (month following contract signing).

- Task 3 Re-print summary brochure (month following contract signing).
Task 4 Conduct outreach, incorporate information learned into TAC implementation, and work with interested parties to facilitate stewardship projects (ongoing following availability of outreach materials until 12 months after contract signed).

* Tuolumne Trust is financing this portion of the project as a cost-share contribution.

g. Feasibility

This project appears to be very feasible. Most of the information needed for creation of the map is already in a GIS system or is readily available. Similar maps have been used in other projects and shown to be useful (e.g. San Joaquin River Parkway, Los Angeles River, etc.). There are no foreseeable technical problems that could modify the timeframe of this project. No permits are required.

D: Applicability to CALFED ERP Goals and Implementation Plan and CVPIA Priorities

1. ERP Goals and CVPIA Priorities

As mentioned earlier, the Trust's outreach and stewardship proposal indirectly supports the entire Tuolumne Restoration Plan, which pursues many of the ecosystem restoration goals identified by CALFED and CVPIA. While the Trust will conduct outreach throughout the watershed, we will focus on two specific reaches of the river—the lowermost and the uppermost – which target especially three of the CALFED goals and related CVPIA principles and limiting factors.

On the lowest reach of the Tuolumne, the Trust will focus on CALFED goal #2 and the parallel CVPIA biological principles—restore ecosystem function and processes. The ecosystem function we seek to restore is peak flows that provide habitat for salmon and other wildlife. The support and involvement of landowners in the lowermost reach of the river is critical to expanding the floodway of the Tuolumne River so that it may convey these higher peak flows. The reach of the river below Modesto is most susceptible to flooding, and therefore is the bottleneck for higher flows. Focus on the lowermost reach also addresses the limiting factor identified by the CVPIA of modified instream flows.

The Trust will also concentrate on the critical spawning habitat for salmon in the uppermost reach of the river. This activity targets CALFED goals #1 and #4, recovering at-risk species (fall-run chinook salmon) and restoring functional habitat types (riparian). We plan to focus outreach in this area, which is impacted by grazing on adjacent lands, on

stewardship programs that promote riparian regeneration in the floodplain. Focusing on the spawning reach addresses the limiting factor identified in the CVPIA summary of diminished quality of spawning and riparian habitats.

2. Relationship to Other Ecosystem Restoration Projects

This project is related to all of the other ecosystem restoration projects being undertaken with TAC support or by TAC members. The projects funded by CALFED, AFRP, and other sources that are currently underway may be depicted on the outreach map and will serve as “demonstrations” of how the Plan is being implemented. These projects include:

- Grayson River Ranch Conservation Easement and Restoration (partnership of Natural Resource Conservation Service, Friends of the Tuolumne, and East Stanislaus Resource Conservation District)
- Special Run Pools 9 and 10 Channel Restoration (TAC project administered by Turlock Irrigation District)
- Gravel Mining Reach Floodway Restoration Projects (TAC project administered by Turlock Irrigation District)
- Bobcat Flat Conservation Easement (Friends of the Tuolumne)
- Spawning Gravel Supplementation (CA Department of Fish and Game)

3. Requests for Next-Phase Funding

This is not a request for Next-Phase funding, though it is a continuation of efforts already undertaken by the TAC to create outreach tools and foster local stewardship.

4. Previous Recipients of CALFED or CVPIA funding

The Tuolumne Trust has not previously received funding from CALFED or CVPIA

5. System-Wide Ecosystem Benefits

As discussed above, this outreach and stewardship proposal will build awareness and support for the restoration projects already underway by TAC members, and for the other projects being proposed in this cycle.

E: Qualifications

Jenna Olsen, Executive Director, will supervise the program to create outreach tools and foster stewardship in the Tuolumne River watershed. Jenna joined the Tuolumne Trust in

*Tuolumne River Preservation Trust
Tuolumne River Outreach and Stewardship Proposal
CALFED Ecosystem Restoration Program 2001*

November of 1999 with extensive experience in Central Valley water and salmon restoration programs. Before joining the Trust, she worked for the Environmental Water Caucus on the CALFED Bay/Delta program and prior to that worked for the Sierra Club on Central Valley salmon issues. In addition, Jenna has experience with land conservation efforts. In 1995, she planned and led a training for Central Europeans on landscape conservation strategies in New England, with a strong emphasis on easement programs. She has both a Masters of Science from the School of Natural Resources and Environment and a Masters of Public Policy from the School of Public Policy at the University of Michigan, *Ann Arbor*.

The Tuolumne Trust is in the process of hiring a Central Valley Program Director who will be the lead for this program. We have several very strong applicants for the position, and expect to hire a staff person by June. The Trust also plans to rely on Board members with relevant expertise.

F. cost

1. Budget

Task 1. Create Outreach Map

Subtask 1a. Gather input, data

Salary, Executive Director, **16** days @ 43,333/year

Travel

to and from meetings with individuals giving input

(% of organizational budget corresponding to % time on project)

Supplies

includes general office supplies

(% of organizational budget corresponding to % time on project)

Overhead

incl. rent, utilities, phone, postage, office equipment, computer, insurance,
administration

(% of organizational budget corresponding to % of salary spent on this project)

Subtask 1b. Create Map

Salary, Executive Director, **5** days @ \$43,333/yr for supervision

Consultant, Scott Noren, Lodestar maps

Overhead @ 20%

Task 2. Produce outreach map. 2500 copies

Consultant, Scott Noren

(most recent quote from his printer)

Overhead @ 20%

Task 3. Re-print Summary Brochure. 2500 copies

Consultant, McBain and Trush

(most recent quote from their printer)

Overhead @ 20%

Task 4. Conduct Outreach

Salary

Executive Director @ \$50,000/yr, 10% time for supervision for 10 months

Central Valley Director @ 45,000/yr, 50% time for outreach for 10 months

Travel

to and from community meetings, landowners, local governments

(% of organizational budget corresponding to % time on project)

Supplies

includes general office supplies

(% of organizational budget corresponding to % time on project)

Overhead

incl. rent, utilities, phone, postage, office equipment, computer, insurance,
administration

(% of organizational budget corresponding to % time on project)

Consultant, Karen Schiller

Financial Manager @ \$30/hr, estimate 1 day, 4x/year

2. Cost Sharing

The Tuolumne River Preservation Trust will contribute funds already secured from previous grants to create the map, as indicated in the budget table.

G. Local Involvement

The purpose of this proposal is to foster greater local involvement in the continuing implementation of the Restoration Plan among groups, individuals, county and local governments, and other parties.

H. Compliance with Standard Terms and Conditions

The Tuolumne River Preservation Trust agrees to comply with the state and federal standard terms.

I. Literature Cited

Amstein, S.R. 1969. A Ladder of Citizen Participation. *American Institute of Planners Journal* 35, 216-224.

Cornter, H.J. and Shannon, M.A. 1993. Embedding public participation in its political context. *Journal of Forestry*, 91(7), 14-16.

Freeman, R.E. 1984. *Strategic management: a stakeholder approach*. Boston, Mass. Pitman.

Mohr, L. B. 1992. *Impact Analysis for Program Evaluation*. Newbury Park, California. Sage Publications.

J. Threshold Requirements

Attached

K. Additional Attachments

- Letter of Support from Tuolumne River Technical Advisory Committee
- Memo requesting input on the proposed map to the East Stanislaus Resource Conservation District

Tuolumne River Preservation Trust
Annual and Total Budget
Request to CALFED Ecosystem Restoration Program
2001

Year	Task	Subject to Overhead							Total cost	cost Share	Request from CALFED
		Direct Labor Hours	Salary	Benefits (15%)	Travel	Supplies	Service Contracts	Overhead (%)			
Year 1	Task 1										
	Create Outreach map		\$ 3,500	\$ 525	\$ 296	\$ 152	\$ 2,100	\$ 3,098	\$ 9,670	\$ 9,670	
	Subtask 1a										
	Gather input, data for map		\$ 2,666	\$ 400	\$ 296	\$ 152		\$ 2,486	\$ 6,000		
	Subtask 1b										
	Cartographer creates map		\$ 833	\$ 125			\$ 2,100	\$ 612	\$ 3,670		
	Task 2										
	Print map and load to web						\$ 5,200	\$ 1,040	\$ 6,240		\$ 6,240
	Task 3										
	Re-print Summary Brochure						\$ 6,511	\$ 1,302	\$ 7,813		\$ 7,813
	Re-print Summary Brochure										
	Conduct outreach		\$ 22,920	\$ 3,438	\$ 2,350	\$ 1,206	\$ 1,000	\$ 16,921	\$ 47,835		\$ 47,835
	Project management (included in overhead)		\$ 22,920	\$ 3,438	\$ 2,350	\$ 1,206			\$		
Total Cost			\$ 26,420	\$ 3,963	\$ 2,646	\$ 1,358	\$ 14,811	\$ 22,361	\$ 71,558	\$ 9,670	\$ 61,868

Tuolumne River Preservation Trust
Year 2000 Budget

	Annual Estimated Totals		
	Main Office	Groveland office and Visitor Center	Estimated <i>budget</i> <i>Modesto office, if raised</i>
<u>Income</u>			
Foundations	\$155,000	\$4,000	
Membership/Donations	\$22,000	\$7,750	
Corporations	\$8,125		
Sales	\$0	\$3,000	
Interest	\$400		
Subtotal income	\$185,525	\$14,750	
Total Income	\$200,275		
<u>Expenses</u>			
<u>Payroll</u>			
Salaries	\$96,583	\$4,000	
Payroll Taxes (est. .04)	\$3,863	\$160	
Benefits (15%)	\$14,487		
Payroll Service	\$1,656		
<i>Total Payroll</i>	<i>\$116,590</i>	<i>\$4,160</i>	
<u>Operating</u>			
Rent	\$5,000		<i>\$4,400</i>
Utilities	\$1,200	\$300	<i>\$400</i>
Phone	\$3,500	\$300	<i>\$1,200</i>
Supplies	\$3,500	\$2,900	<i>\$500</i>
Postage	\$2,000	\$100	
Office Equipment	\$1,000		<i>\$2,000</i>
Computer/Web	\$6,000		
Publications/Books	\$0	\$4,250	
Printing/Outreach Materials	\$5,000	\$450	
Fundraising/Membership	\$9,500		
Consulting	\$17,000		
Travel	\$8,500	\$800	
Training/Conferences	\$1,500	\$800	
Board Expenses	\$300		
Insurance	\$2,555		<i>\$400</i>
<i>Total Operating</i>	<i>\$66,555</i>	<i>\$9,900</i>	<i>\$8,900</i>
Subtotal Expenses	\$183,145	\$14,060	\$8,900
Total Expenses	\$197,205		

Tuolumne River Preservation Trust
Central Valley Program Budget

	<i>2000</i> Central Valley <u>Program Budget</u>	Proposed 2001 Central Valley <u>Program Budget</u>
<u>Expenses</u>		
<u>Payroll</u>		
Salaries	35,667	55,000
Payroll Taxes (est. .04)	1,427	2,200
Benefits (15%)	5,350	8,250
Payroll Service	0	960
Total Payroll	\$42,443	66,410
<u>Operating</u>		
Rent	7,300	7,300
Utilities	1,096	1,096
Phone	3,230	3,230
Supplies	2,530	2,530
Postage	1,160	1,160
Office Equipment	2,580	2,580
Computer/Web	3,480	3,480
Publications/Books	0	0
Printing/Outreach Materials	2,900	2,900
Fundraising/Membership	5,510	5,510
Consulting	9,860	9,860
Travel	4,930	4,930
Training/Conferences	870	870
Board Expenses	174	174
insurance	1,882	1,882
Total <u>Operating</u>	47,502	47,502
Administrative	20,016	20,706
Total Expenses	\$109,961	\$134,618

Note: Salaries increase dramatically in 2001 because the Trust was without a Central Valley Program Director for half of 2000.

APPLICATION FOR FEDERAL ASSISTANCE

OMB Approval No. 03480043

1. N P E OF SUBMISSION: Application <input type="checkbox"/> Construction <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-Construction Preapplication <input type="checkbox"/> Construction <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-Construction		2. DATE SUBMITTED May 15, 2000		Applicant Identifier																						
		3. DATE RECEIVED BY STATE		State Application Identifier																						
		4. DATE RECEIVED BY FEDERAL AGENCY		Federal Identifier																						
5. APPLICANT INFORMATION Legal Name: <u>Tuolumne River Preservation Trust</u>																										
Address (give city, county, State, and zip code): <u>Fort Mason, Building C</u> <u>San Francisco, CA 94123</u>			Organizational Unit: Name and telephone number of person to be contacted on matters involving this application (give area code): <u>Jenna Olsen, 415/292-3531</u>																							
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (EIN): <u>94-2834151</u>			7. N P E OF APPLICANT: (enter appropriate letter in box) <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> A. State B. County C. Municipal D. Township E. Interstate F. Intermunicipal G. Special District </div> <div> H. Independent School Dist. I. State Controlled Institution of Higher Learning J. Private University K. Indian Tribe L. Individual M. Profit Organization N. Other (Specify) <u>Non-Profit</u> </div> </div> <div style="text-align: right; border: 1px solid black; width: 30px; height: 30px; line-height: 30px; margin: 0 auto;">IV</div>																							
8. N P E OF APPLICATION: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Continuation <input type="checkbox"/> Revision If Revision, enter appropriate letter(s) in box(es) <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> A. Increase Award B. Decrease Award C. Increase Duration D. Decrease Duration Other (specify): _____			9. NAME OF FEDERAL AGENCY: 																							
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMESTIC ASSISTANCE NUMBER: <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 20px; margin: 0 auto;"></div> TITLE: _____			11. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLICANT'S PROJECT: 																							
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT (Cities, Counties, States, etc.): <u>Stanislaus County</u>			14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF: a. Applicant <u>Tuolumne River Trust</u> b. Project <u>Tuolumne River Outreach + Stewardship</u>																							
13. PROPOSED PROJECT <u>Tuolumne Stewardship</u>																										
Start Date <u>12/00</u> Ending Date <u>12/01</u>																										
15. ESTIMATED FUNDING <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20%;">a. Federal</td> <td style="width: 10%;">\$</td> <td style="width: 70%; text-align: right;">62,000⁰⁰</td> </tr> <tr> <td>b. Applicant</td> <td>\$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">10,000⁰⁰</td> </tr> <tr> <td>c. State</td> <td>\$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">00⁰⁰</td> </tr> <tr> <td>d. Local</td> <td>\$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">00⁰⁰</td> </tr> <tr> <td>e. Other</td> <td>\$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">00⁰⁰</td> </tr> <tr> <td>f. Program Income</td> <td>\$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">00⁰⁰</td> </tr> <tr> <td>g. TOTAL</td> <td>\$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">72,000⁰⁰</td> </tr> </table>			a. Federal	\$	62,000 ⁰⁰	b. Applicant	\$	10,000 ⁰⁰	c. State	\$	00 ⁰⁰	d. Local	\$	00 ⁰⁰	e. Other	\$	00 ⁰⁰	f. Program Income	\$	00 ⁰⁰	g. TOTAL	\$	72,000 ⁰⁰	16. IS APPLICATION SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 PROCESS? a. YES. THIS PREAPPLICATION/APPLICATION WAS MADE AVAILABLE TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 PROCESS FOR REVIEW ON: DATE _____ b. No. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PROGRAM IS NOT COVERED BY E.O. 12372 <input type="checkbox"/> OR PROGRAM HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE FOR REVIEW		
a. Federal	\$	62,000 ⁰⁰																								
b. Applicant	\$	10,000 ⁰⁰																								
c. State	\$	00 ⁰⁰																								
d. Local	\$	00 ⁰⁰																								
e. Other	\$	00 ⁰⁰																								
f. Program Income	\$	00 ⁰⁰																								
g. TOTAL	\$	72,000 ⁰⁰																								
17. IS THE APPLICANT DELINQUENT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If "Yes," attach an explanation. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No																										
18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, ALL DATA IN THIS APPLICATION/PREAPPLICATION ARE TRUE AND CORRECT, THE DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHORIZED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE APPLICANT AND THE APPLICANT WILL COMPLY WITH THE ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASSISTANCE IS AWARDED.																										
a. Type Name of Authorized Representative <u>Jenna Olsen</u>		b. Title <u>Executive Director</u>		c. Telephone Number <u>415/292-3531</u>																						
d. Signature of Authorized Representative <u>Jenna Olsen</u>		e. Date Signed <u>12 May 00</u>																								

BUDGET INFORMATION - Non-Construction Programs

OMB Approval No. 0348-0044

SECTION A - BUDGET SUMMARY

Grant Program Function or Activity (a)	Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number (b)	Estimated Unobligated Funds		New or Revised Budget		
		Federal (c)	Non-Federal (d)	Federal (e)	Non-Federal (f)	Total (g)
1. Create Map		\$	\$	\$	\$ 9,670	\$ 9,670
2. Print Map				6,240		6,240
3. Re-print Brochure				7,813		7,813
4. Conduct Outreach				47,835		47,835
5. Totals		\$	\$	\$ 61,888	\$ 9,670	\$ 71,558

SECTION B - BUDGET CATEGORIES

Object Class Categories	GRANT PROGRAM, FUNCTION OR ACTIVITY				Total (5)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
a. Personnel	\$ 3,500	\$	\$	\$ 22,920	\$ 26,420
b. Fringe Benefits	525			3,438	3,963
c. Travel	296			2,350	2,646
d. Equipment	-			-	-
e. Supplies	152			1,206	1,358
f. Contractual	2,100	5,200	6,511	1,000	14,811
g. Construction	-				
h. Other	3,098	1,040	1,302	16,921	22,361
i. Total Direct Charges (sum of 6a-6h)	9,670	6,240	7,813	22,361	71,558
j. Indirect Charges					
k. TOTALS (sum of 6i and 6j)	\$ 9,670	\$ 6,240	\$	\$ 22,361	\$ 71,558
7. Program Income	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

Authorized for Local Reproduction

Standard Form 424A (Rev. 7-97)
Prescribed by OMB Circular A-102

SECTION C - NON-FEDERAL RESOURCES				
(a) Grant Program	(b) Applicant	(c) State	(d) Other Sources	(e) TOTALS
8.	\$	\$	\$	\$
9.				
10.				
11.				
12. TOTAL (sum of lines 8-11)	\$	\$	\$	\$

SECTION D - FORECASTED CASH NEEDS					
	Total for 1st Year	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter
13. Federal	\$ 61,888	\$ 14,053	\$ 15,945	\$ 15,945	\$ 15,945
14. Non-Federal	9,670	9,670			
15. TOTAL (sum of lines 13 and 14)	\$	\$ 23,723	\$ 15,945	\$ 15,945	\$ 15,945

SECTION E - BUDGET ESTIMATES OF FEDERAL FUNDS NEEDED FOR BALANCE OF THE PROJECT				
(a) Grant Program	FUTURE FUNDING PERIODS (Years)			
	(b) First	(c) Second	(d) Third	(e) Fourth
16.	\$	\$	\$	\$
17.				
18.				
19.				
20. TOTAL (sum of lines 16-19)	\$	\$	\$	\$

SECTION F - OTHER BUDGET INFORMATION	
21. Direct Charges:	22. Indirect Charges:
23. Remarks:	



SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE
Fort Mason Center, Building C
San Francisco, CA 94123
(415) 292-3531
(415) 931-1813 Facsimile
tuolumne@igc.org

GROVELAND FIELD OFFICE
VISITOR CENTER
18750 Main Street / P.O. Box 612
Groveland, CA 95321
(209) 962-0641

DIRECTORS

HONORARY BOARD MEMBERS

David Brower
David Conrad
John Echeverria
Marc Reisner
Galen Rowell

BOARD MEMBERS

Robert Gunning, *Chair*
Bob Hackamack
Eric Heitz, *Treasurer*
Maurice Holloway
Marty McDonnell
Jerry Menal
John Murphy, *Vice-Chair*
Richard Roos-Collins
Norwood Scott
Kevin Shelley
Susan Stern, *Secretary*
Ron Stock
Patricia Sullivan
Johnna Thomas

SUPPORTING ORGANIZATIONS

American Rivers
California Trout, Inc.
Camp Tawonga
Clavey River Preservation Coalition
Federation of Fly Fishers
Friends of Berkeley Camp
Friends of the Earth
Friends of the River
National Audubon Society
Natural Resources Defense Council
Planning and Conservation League
San Jose Family Camp
Sierra Club
Trout Unlimited
Tuolumne River Outfitters Association
The Wilderness Society

May 12, 2000

CALFED Bay/Delta Program
Ecosystem Restoration Program
Proposal Solicitation 2001
1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1155
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Sir/Madam:

The *Tuolumne River Watershed Outreach and Stewardship Proposal* should be exempt from the public notification requirement because this project does not include any physical action on the ground.

This project involves education and outreach efforts to encourage and facilitate local watershed stewardship projects that are consistent with the Tuolumne River Restoration Plan. No specific on the ground projects have been identified at this time. If and when this outreach and stewardship project results in a proposed on the ground action, advance notification to the local entities will be given.

Sincerely,

Jenna Olsen
Executive Director

If **yes**, the applicant must attach written permission for access from the relevant property owner(s). Failure to include written **permission** for access may result in disqualification of the proposal during the review process. Research and monitoring field projects for which specific field locations have not been identified will be required to provide access needs and permission **for** access with **30 days of** notification of approval.

6. Please indicate what permits or other approvals may be required for the activities contained in your proposal. Check all boxes that apply.

LOCAL

Conditional use permit ☐

Variance ☐

Subdivision Map Act approval ☐

Grading permit ☐

General plan amendment ☐

Specific plan approval ☐

~~Rezone~~ ☐

Williamson Act Contract ☐

cancellation ☐

Other ☐

(please specify) ☐

None required ☒

CESA Compliance ☐ (CDFG)

Streambed alteration permit ☐ (CDFG)

CWA § 401 certification ☐ (RWQCB)

Coastal development permit ☐ (Coastal Commission/BCDC)

Reclamation Board approval ☐

Notification ☐ (DPC, BCDC)

Other ☐

(please specify) ☐

None required ☒

FEDERAL

ESA Consultation ☐ (USFWS)

River & Harbors Act permit ☐ (ACOE)

CWA § 404 permit ☐ (ACOE)

Other ☐

(please specify) ☐

None required ☒

DPC = Delta Protection Commission
 CWA = Clean Water Act
 CESA = California Endangered Species Act
 USFWS = U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
 ACOE = U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

ESA = Endangered Species Act
 CDFG = California Department of Fish and Game
 RWQCB = Regional Water Quality Control Board
 BCDC = Bay Conservation and Development Commission

the total number of employees

10. Will the applicant acquire any interest in land under the proposal (fee title *or* a conservation easement)?

YES

 ✓
NO

11. What entity/organization will hold the interest? _____

12. If YES to # 10, answer the following:

Total number of acres to be acquired under proposal _____

Number of acres to be acquired in fee _____

Number of acres to be subject to conservation easement _____

13. For all proposals involving physical changes to the land or restriction in land use, describe what entity or organization will:

manage the property _____

provide operations and maintenance services _____

conduct monitoring _____

14. For land acquisitions (fee title or easements). Will existing water rights also be acquired?

YES

NO

15. Does the applicant propose any modifications to the water right or change in the delivery of the water?

YES

NO

16. If YES to # 15, describe _____

NONDISCRIMINATION COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

STD. 19 (REV. 3-95)

COMPANY NAME

Lodestar Maps

The company named above (hereinafter referred to as "prospective Contractor") hereby certifies, unless specifically exempted, compliance with Government Code Section 12990 (a-f) and California Code of Regulations, Title 2, Division 4, Chapter 5 in matters relating to reporting requirements and the development, implementation and maintenance of a Nondiscrimination Program. Prospective contractor agrees not to unlawfully discriminate, harass or allow harassment against any employee or applicant for employment because of sex, race, color, ancestry, religious creed, national origin, physical disability (including HIV and AIDS), medical condition (cancer), age (over 40), marital status, denial of family care leave and denial of pregnancy disability leave.

CERTIFICATION

I, the official named below, hereby swear that I am duly authorized to legally bind the prospective contractor to the above described certification. I am fully aware that this certification, executed on the date and in the county below, is made under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California.

OFFICIAL'S NAME

Scott Noren

DATE EXECUTED

May 12, 2000

EXECUTED IN THE COUNTY OF

San Francisco

PROSPECTIVE CONTRACTOR'S SIGNATURE

Scott Noren

PROSPECTIVE CONTRACTOR'S TITLE

Cartographer

PROSPECTIVE CONTRACTOR'S LEGAL BUSINESS NAME

—

**STANDARD CLAUSES -
SERVICE & CONSULTANT SERVICE CONTRACTS FOR \$5,000 & OVER WITH NONPUBLIC ENTITIES**

Workers' Compensation Clause. Contractor affirms that it is aware of the provisions of Section 3700 of the California Labor Code which require every employer to be insured against liability for workers' compensation or to undertake self-insurance in accordance with the provisions of that Code, and Contractor affirms that it will comply with such provisions before commencing the performance of the work under this contract.

National Labor Relations Board Clause. In accordance with Public Contract Code Section 10294, Contractor declares under penalty of perjury that no mere threat of a final, unappealable finding of contempt of court by a federal court has been issued against the Contractor within the immediately preceding two-year period because of Contractor's failure to comply with an order of a federal court which orders Contractor to comply with an order of the National Labor Relations Board.

Nondiscrimination Clause. During the performance of this contract, the recipient, Contractor and its subcontractors shall not deny the contract's benefits to any person on the basis of religion, color, ethnic group identification, sex, age, physical or mental disability, nor shall they discriminate unlawfully against any employee or applicant for employment because of race, religion, color, national origin, ancestry, physical handicap, mental disability, medical condition, marital status, age (over 40), or sex. Contractor shall insure that the evaluation and treatment of employees and applicants for employment are free of such discrimination. Contractor shall comply with the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act (Government Code Section 12900 et seq.), the regulations promulgated thereunder (California Administrative Code, Title 2, Sections 7285.0 et seq.), the provisions of Article 9.5, Chapter 1, Part 1, Division 3, Title 2 of the Government Code (Government Code Sections 11135 - 11139.5), and the regulations or standards adopted by the awarding State agency to implement such article. Contractor or recipient shall permit access by representatives of the Department of Fair Employment and Housing and the awarding State agency upon reasonable notice at any time during the normal business hours, but in no case less than 24 hours' notice, to such of its books, records, accounts, other sources of information and its facilities as said Department or Agency shall require to ascertain compliance with this clause. Recipient, Contractor and its subcontractors shall give written notice of their obligations under this clause to labor organizations with which they have a collective bargaining or other agreement. The Contractor shall include the nondiscrimination and compliance provisions of this clause in all subcontracts to perform work under the contract.

Statement of Compliance. The Contractor's signature affixed hereto and dated shall constitute a certification under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the Contractor has, unless exempted, complied with the nondiscrimination program requirements of Government Code Section 12900 and Title 2, California Code of Regulations, Section 8103.

Performance Evaluation. For consulting services agreements, Contractor's performance under this contract will be evaluated after completion. A negative evaluation will be filed with the Department of General Services.

Availability of Funds. Work to be performed under this contract is subject to availability of funds through the State's normal budget process.

Audit Clause. For contracts in excess of \$10,000, the contracting parties shall be subject to the examination and audit of the State Auditor for a period of three years after final payment under the contract. (Government Code Section 8546.7).

Payment Retention Clause. Ten percent of any progress payments that may be provided for under this contract shall be withheld per Public Contract Code Sections 10346 and 10379 pending satisfactory completion of all services under the contract.

Reimbursement Clause. If applicable, travel and per diem expenses to be reimbursed under this contract shall be at the same rates the State provides for unincorporated associations in accordance with the provisions of Title 2, Chapter 3, of the California Code of Regulations. Contractor's designated headquarters.

Disabled Veteran Business Enterprise Participation Requirement Audit Clause. Contractor or vendor agrees that the awarding department or its delegates will have the right to review, obtain, and copy all records pertaining to performance of the contract. Contractor or vendor agrees to provide the awarding department or its delegate access to its premises, upon reasonable notice, during normal business hours for the purpose of interviewing employees and inspecting and copying such books, records, accounts, and other material that may be relevant to a matter under investigation for the purpose of determining compliance with Public Contract Code Section 10115 et seq. Contractor or vendor further agrees to maintain such records for a period of three (3) years after final payment under the contract. Title 2 CCR Section 1896.75.

Priority Hiring Considerations. For contracts in excess of \$200,000, the Contractor shall give priority consideration in filling vacancies in positions funded by the contract to qualified recipients of aid under Welfare and Institutions Code Section 11200. (Public Contract Code Section 10355).

Agreement No.: _____

Exhibit: _____

ADDITIONAL STANDARD CLAUSES

Recycled Materials. Contractor hereby certifies under penalty of perjury that _____ (enter value or "0") percent of the materials, goods and supplies offered or products used in the performance of this Agreement meet or exceed the minimum percentage of recycled material as defined in Sections 12161 and 12200 of the Public Contract Code.

Severability. If any provision of this Agreement is held invalid or unenforceable by any court of final jurisdiction, it is the intent of the parties that all other provisions of this Agreement be construed to remain fully valid, enforceable, and binding on the parties.

Governing Law. This Agreement is governed by and shall be interpreted in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

Y2K Language. The Contractor warrants and represents that the goods or services sold, leased, or licensed to the State of California, its agencies, or its political subdivisions, pursuant to this Agreement are "Year 2000 compliant." For purposes of this Agreement, a good or service is Year 2000 compliant if it will continue to fully function before, at, and after the Year 2000 without interruption and, if applicable, with full ability to accurately and unambiguously process, display, compare, calculate, manipulate, and otherwise utilize date information. This warranty and representation supersedes all warranty disclaimers and limitations and all limitations on liability provided by or through the Contractor.

Child Support Compliance Act. For any agreement in excess of \$100,000, the Contractor acknowledges in accordance therewith, that:

1. The Contractor recognizes the importance of child and family support obligations and shall fully comply with all applicable State and federal laws relating to child and family support enforcement, including, but not limited to, disclosure of information and compliance with earnings assignment orders, as provided in Chapter 8 (commencing with Section 5200) of Part 5 of Division 9 of the Family Code; and
2. The Contractor, to the best of its knowledge, is fully complying with the earnings assignment orders of all employees and is providing the names of all new employees to the New Hire Registry maintained by the California Employment Development Department.

Drug-Free Workplace Certification. By signing this contract, the Contractor or grantee hereby certifies under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the Contractor or grantee will comply with the requirements of the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1990 (Government Code Section 3350 et seq.) and will provide a drug-free workplace by taking the following actions:

1. Publish a statement notifying employees that unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensation, possession, or use of a controlled substance is prohibited and specifying actions to be taken against employees for violations.
2. Establish a Drug-Free Awareness Program to inform employees about all of the following:
 - (a) The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace,
 - (b) The person's or organization's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace,
 - (c) Any available counseling, rehabilitation and employee assistance programs, and
 - (d) Penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations.
3. Every employee who works on the proposed contract or grant:
 - (a) Will receive a copy of the company's drug-free policy statement, and
 - (b) Will agree to abide by terms of the company's statement as a condition of employment on the contract or grant.

This contract or grant may be subject to suspension of payments or termination, or both, and the Contractor or grantee may be subject to debarment if the department determines that: (1) the Contractor or grantee has made a false certification, or (2) the Contractor or grantee violates the certification by failing to carry out the requirements noted above.

Antitrust Clauses. In submitting a bid to a public purchasing body, the bidder offers and agrees that if the bid is accepted, it will assign to the purchasing body all rights, title, and interest in and to all causes of action it may have under Section 4 of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. Sec. 15) or under the Cartwright Act (Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 16700) Part 2 of Division 7 of the Business and Professions Code), arising from purchases of goods, materials, or services by the bidder for sale to the purchasing body pursuant to the bid. Such assignment shall be made and become effective at the time the purchasing body tenders final payment to the bidder. See Government Code Section 4552.

If an awarding body or public purchasing body receives, either through judgment or settlement, a monetary recovery for a cause of action assigned under this chapter, the assignor shall be entitled to receive reimbursement for actual legal costs incurred and may, upon demand, recover from the public body any portion of the recovery, including treble damages, attributable to overcharges that were paid by the assignor but were not paid by the public body as part of the bid price, less the expenses incurred in obtaining that portion of the recovery. See Government Code Section 4553.

Upon demand in writing by the assignor, the assignee shall, within one year from such demand, reassign the cause of action assigned under this part if the assignor has been or may have been injured by the violation of law for which the cause of action arose and (a) the assignee has not been injured thereby, or (b) the assignee declines to file a court action for the cause of action. See Government Code Section 4554.

Americans With Disabilities Act. By signing this contract, Contractor assures the state that it complies with the Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability, as well as all applicable regulations and guidelines issued pursuant to the ADA.

Corporate Qualifications To Do Business in California. Contractor must be currently qualified to do business in California as defined by the Revenue & Taxation Code, Section 23101 unless exempted. Both domestic and foreign corporations (those incorporated outside of California) must be in good standing in order to be qualified to do business in California.

Former State Employees: a) For the two-year period from the date he or she left State employment, no former State officer or employee may enter into a contract in which he or she engaged in any of the negotiations, transactions, planning, arrangements or any part of the decision-making process relevant to the contract while employed in any capacity by any State agency. b) For the twelve-month period from the date he or she left State employment, no former State officer or employee may enter into a contract with any State agency if he or she was employed by that State agency in a policy-making position in the same general subject area as the proposed contract within the twelve-month period prior to his or her leaving State service.

TUOLUMNE RIVER TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

DON PEDRO PROJECT - FERC LICENSE 2299

MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT
TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME
U. S. FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE



333 East Canal Drive
Turlock, CA 95381-0949
Phone: (209) 883-8275
Fax: (209) 656-2143
Email: tjford@tid.org

Jenna Olsen
Tuolumne River Preservation Trust
Fort Mason Building C
San Francisco, CA 94123

May 10, 2000

Dear Ms. Olsen:

The TRTAC supports the proposal by the Tuolumne River Preservation Trust for development of outreach maps of the Tuolumne River. The TRTAC believes this project will greatly assist implementing the restoration actions of the Tuolumne River Habitat Restoration Plan and will complement other restoration actions underway in the Tuolumne River corridor.

The Tuolumne River Technical Advisory Committee (TRTAC) is a product of the 1995 Don Pedro Project FERC Settlement Agreement (FSA). The FSA is a precedent-setting document signed by 11 parties representing water agencies, fishery agencies, and environmental groups. The TRTAC has completed a Habitat Restoration Plan for the 52-mile reach known as the Lower Tuolumne River, from La Grange Dam to the San Joaquin River. The FSA, the habitat plan, and salmonid restoration plans developed by both the CDFG and US Fish and Wildlife Service, all recognize the importance of and the need for public support and cooperation to accomplish improvements from existing conditions.

Authorized by and signed on behalf of the TRTAC,

A handwritten signature in dark ink that reads "Tim Ford". The script is cursive and fluid, with the first and last names clearly legible.

Tim Ford
Coordinator, TRTAC
Turlock and Modesto Irrigation Districts

Tim Heyne
California Department of Fish and Game

Gary Taylor
U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Ron Yoshiyama
City and County of San Francisco

Jenna Olsen
Tuolumne River Preservation Trust

Nicole Sandkulla
Bay Area Water Users Association

Dave Boucher
Friends of the Tuolumne

CC: TRTAC e-mail distribution



SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE
Fort Mason Center, Building C
San Francisco, CA 94123
(415) 292-3531
(415) 931-1813 Facsimile
tuolumne@igc.org

**GROVELAND FIELD OFFICE
VISITOR CENTER**
18750 Main Street / P.O. Box 612
Groveland, CA 95321
(209) 962-0641

DIRECTORS

HONORARY BOARD MEMBERS

David Brower
David Conrad
John Echeverria
Marc Reizner
Galen Rowell

BOARD MEMBERS

Robert Canning, *Chair*
Bob Hackmack
Eric Heitz, *Treasurer*
Maurice Holloway
Marty McDonnell
Jerry Meral
John Murphy, *Vice-Chair*
Richard Roos-Collins
Nerwood Scott
Kevin Shelley
Susan Stern, *Secretary*
Ron Stork
Patricia Sullivan
Johanna Thomas

SUPPORTING ORGANIZATIONS

American Rivers
California Trout, Inc.
Camp Tawonga
Clavey River Preservation Coalition
Federation of Fly Fishers
Friends of Berkeley Camp
Friends of the Earth
Friends of the River
National Audubon Society
Natural Resources Defense Council
Planning and Conservation League
San Jose Family Camp
Sierra Club
Trout Unlimited
Tuolumne River Outfitters Association
The Wilderness Society

To: East Stanislaus Resource Conservation District
From: Jenna Olsen, Tuolumne River Preservation Trust
Date: April 6, 2000

**Re: Draft Proposal for Landowner Pattern Map,
Tuolumne River**

The Tuolumne River Preservation Trust proposes preparing a map that can be used as an outreach tool, in conjunction with the Tuolumne River Habitat Restoration Plan Summary Brochure, among landowners, interested parties, and the public. The purpose of the map would be to increase understanding of property patterns along the Tuolumne River Corridor and to advance the effort to expand the floodway and restore the river via conservation easements and other methods.

TRPT requests the input of the ESRCD to ensure that the map will most effectively serve its outreach purposes. The proposal is in a very draft stage at this point.

Right now, we are **looking** at producing a series of 7 maps (one for each "reach" described in the Restoration Plan) that would be small enough to be a "handout" (e.g. 11 x 17 or smaller). The pieces of information depicted on the map would include:

- Tuolumne river, with water level at some "base" flow-
- * topographic line indicating the natural floodplain
- line indicating the high water level during the 1997 floods
- Color-code for the land parcels within the floodplain to denote whether public or private (e.g. public blue, private red).
- canoe/recreation access points

Additional pieces of information possible to add to the map:

- symbols to designate the different **types** of public ownership (e.g. what public lands are state, irrigation district, park, etc.)
- symbol to designate where current restoration easements are located
- where mining permits are located
- FEMA 100 year floodplain
- vegetation to help guide river restoration goals

Most of the above information already exists and is in **GIS** format.

In addition, the Trust is seeking formal partners for the map. The Trust has the funding to hire a cartographer to develop the piece, but will likely need to find additional partners to fund producing a sufficient quantity for outreach. The Trust has also approached the Tuolumne River Technical Advisory Committee about the idea, and plans to contact the Stanislaus County Parks Department as well. Other ideas for partners would be appreciated.